



chris kwan <ecorpnu@gmail.com>

RESENDING: S/N 09/923311

Kalinowski, Alexander <Alexander.Kalinowski@uspto.gov>**Wed, Aug 29, 2007 at 2:47 AM**

To: chris kwan - ecorpnu <chris@ecorpnu.com>

The only outstanding rejection of the claims in the application is the 112 rejection. The office action is complete. Thank you.

Alexander Kalinowski
SPE, Art Unit 3691
Knox SD49
(571) 272-6771

-----Original Message-----

From: ecorpnu@gmail.com [mailto:ecorpnu@gmail.com] On Behalf Of chris kwan - ecorpnu
Sent: Monday, August 27, 2007 12:02 AM
To: Kalinowski, Alexander
Cc: datuk2@hotmail.com
Subject: RESENDING: S/N 09/923311

I am resending this in case, it has not reached you as yet. I have also left a message on your answering machine on this matter.

Thanks

K Kwan

----- Forwarded message -----

From: chris kwan - ecorpnu <chris@ecorpnu.com>
Date: Aug 23, 2007 5:30 PM
Subject: S/N 09/923311
To: alexander.kalinowski@uspto.gov

Khai Kwan
P.O.Box 1178
Sandakan 90713
Sabah
Malaysia

Alexander, Kalinowski
Supervisor Examiner
USPTO

Dear Sir,

I am writing to you on the matter of finality for my Application S/N 09/9123311.

I have previously written to M/s Olabode Akintola (see below) to seek her opinion whether the Final Action Letter dated 30 July 2007 is completed in the face of MPEP 2163 at PART III which requires examiners to perform patentability determination. For clarity Part III

reads " The above only describes how to determine whether the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 1, is satisfied. Regardless of the outcome of that determination, Office personnel MUST complete the patentability determination under all the relevant statutory provisions of title 35 of the U.S. Code." (emphasis 'MUST' is mine).

I am now seeking your opinion in this matter as well. Please advice if you are in agreement with M/s Olabode which implicitly means no patentability determination is required for this application.

Thank you for your attention.

Khai Kwan
023336.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Akintola, Olabode <Olabode.Akintola@uspto.gov>
Date: Aug 20, 2007 10:36 PM
Subject: RE: S/N 09/923311
To: chris kwan - ecorpnu <chris@ecorpnu.com>
Cc: "Kazimi, Hani" <Hani.Kazimi@uspto.gov>

Dear M/S Kwan,

The Final action is complete. Examiner acknowledges that no prior art was cited in the rejection.

Examiner Akintola

-----Original Message-----

From: ecorpnu@gmail.com [mailto:ecorpnu@gmail.com] On Behalf Of chris kwan - ecorpnu
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2007 1:47 AM
To: Akintola, Olabode; Kazimi, Hani
Subject: S/N 09/923311

Khai Kwan
P.O.Box 1178
Sandakan 90713
Sabah
Malaysia

M/s Olabode Akintola
Examiner
USPTO

Mr Hani Kazimi
Primary Examiner
USPTO

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the above application and in particular your Final Action Letter mailed 30 July 2007. I am writing to enquire whether this

Action Letter is complete having 4 pages and 1 Notice of Reference page.

From my review of said Action Letter, patentability examination was not found in the above action letter as per MPEP 2163 and in particular Section "III. . COMPLETE PATENTABILITY DETERMINATION UNDER ALL STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS AND CLEARLY COMMUNICATE FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND THEIR BASES" (The block letters are USPTO).

Your urgent review on this matter is sought. If I am wrong and the Action Letter is completed and in good standing, please advice me so I can proceed to reply.

Thank you

Yours Truly,

Khai Kwan
023336

--

Chris Kwan
Advocate (Sabah) & Solicitor (NSW)

--

Chris Kwan
Advocate (Sabah) & Solicitor (NSW)
